

The sun eclipse of June 16<sup>th</sup>, 364 AD, as calculated by Theon of Alexandria in the 6<sup>th</sup> book of his Commentary on the Almagest.

Date: 24 Thoth 1112 Nabonassar, vague year  
 22 Payni 1111 Nabonassar "alexandrian" year  
 22 Payni 1111 Diocletian id. (era of martyrs)  
 Observed time, according to Theon: 1<sup>st</sup> contact: 2 1/2 hours PM (seasonal hours) (αποφαιέστατα)  
 Middle: 3 1/4 1/2 PM "  
 last contact: 4 1/2 PM (ἐγγύστα)

True conjunction according to the Almagest: (cf Almagest ed Heiberg, pt I p. 466)

	Days of Thoth	Sun from apogee	Moon anomaly	Moon latitude
1101 years:	22; 41, 45	19; 11, 56	222; 53, 32	65; 41, 57
11 years:	1; 9, 39	358; 28, 11	271; 4, 19	211; 12, 3
	23; 51, 24	17; 40, 7	133; 57, 51	276; 54, 0
	2 x 51, 24 =	+ 65; 30 (apogee)	distance from sun:	- 3; 50, 8
mean moon:	102, 48	83; 10, 7	3; 8, 48	273; 3, 52
23; 10, 7	x 1/2 = equin. hrs	mean sun: Gemini	x 1/2 = 0; 15, 44	+ 3; 24, 32
correction:	20 1/2 1/5	23; 10, 7	tot: 3; 24, 32	276; 28, 24
-3; 50 8	+ 5 1/2 1/5 1/5	correction:	moon's speed:	
True position:	Total: days 24	0, 41, 20	0; 34, 56	
Gem 19; 19, 59	Eq. hrs 2 1/3 1/5	True position: Gemini	time between mean and true conjunction:	
+ 3; 24, 32	- 0h 1/3 1/5	22; 28, 47	5h 1/2 1/5 1/5	
long. of moon at time of true conjunction:	Total: equinoctial hrs:	+ 0; 15, 44		
Gemini	2h 1/2 1/5	True longitude at time of true conjunction:	133; 57, 51	
22; 44, 31		Gem. 22; 44, 31	+ 3; 11, 36	
			137; 9, 27	

Results: Date of true conjunction: 24 Thoth 2 1/2 1/5 equinoctial hours after midday of 24 Thoth  
 Positions: sun's and moon's centers: Gemini 22° 44' 52"  
 moon's anomaly 137; 9, 27 from apogee of epicycle  
 moon's latitude: 276; 28, 45 from northern extremity of orbit  
 moon's speed: 0° 34' 56" per hour = V

Apparent conjunction.

- Vertical parallax of moon and sun at time of true conjunction:  
 (cf Alm. I p. 174. Table of zenithal distances, "climate" of Lower Egypt)  
 Gemini 22; 45 hour: 2 1/2 1/5 PM  
 angle of vertical and ecliptic at the point occupied by the moon (neglecting latitude) α = 17° 35'  
 zenithal distance of moon (neglecting latitude): 38° 28'  
 (cf Alm. I p. 442. Table of parallaxes)  
 for zenithal distance 38° 28' sun's vertical parallax: 0° 1' 45"  
 moon's vertical parallax: 0° 39' 35"  
 Difference: D = 0° 37' 50"
- Component in longitude of the difference: p = 0° 36' 1"  
 formula:  $\frac{120}{\text{cld}(180 - 2\alpha)} = \frac{D}{p}$  (resolution of rectilinear rectangle triangle)
- Time of apparent conjunction, 1<sup>st</sup> approximation.  $\frac{p}{V} = \frac{0° 36' 1''}{0° 34' 56''} = 1 \frac{1}{30}$  hour  
 2 1/2 1/5 + 1/30 = 3 1/2 1/5 1/5 PM

4° Vertical parallax of moon. (2<sup>nd</sup> approximation) (cf. Alm I p. 174 and 442)  
 time:  $3\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}$  PM position: Gemini  $22^{\circ}45'$  (moon's movement will be accounted for later on).

angle of vertical and ecliptic:  $\alpha = 18^{\circ}32'$

zenithal distance:  $51^{\circ}48'$

vertical parallax of sun  $0^{\circ}2'15''$

of moon  $0^{\circ}49'47''$

difference:  $0^{\circ}47'32''$

5° Component in longitude of difference:  $c = 0^{\circ}45'3''$

6° Equiparallax (empirical correction to dispense with recalculating parallaxes for a 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> approximation of time) = e (p: cf. 2°, supra)

$p - c = d = 0^{\circ}9'2''$

$\frac{p}{d} = \frac{d}{e}$

$e + d = e = 0^{\circ}11'17''$

7°  $\frac{13}{12}(p + e) = 0^{\circ}51'18''$  (including empirical correction to account for moon and sun's movement)

8° Time of apparent conjunction 2<sup>nd</sup> approximation.

$\frac{0^{\circ}51'18''}{0^{\circ}34'56''} = 1\frac{1}{2}$  hour, to add to hour of true conjunction.

$2\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2} + 1\frac{1}{2} = 4\frac{1}{2}$  PM

corresponding Position of moon: longitude: Gemini  $22^{\circ}44'55'' + 0^{\circ}51'18'' = 23^{\circ}36'10''$

latitude:  $276^{\circ}28'45'' + 0^{\circ}51'18'' = 277^{\circ}20'3''$

anomaly:  $137^{\circ}9'27'' + 0^{\circ}51'18'' = 138^{\circ}0'45''$

Circumstances of the eclipse.

(cf. Tables of eclipses, Alm I p. 519)

1° Argument: apparent position of moon in latitude at time of apparent conjunction.

moon's vertical parallax at time of apparent conjunction:  $0^{\circ}53'17''$

sun's:  $0^{\circ}2'25''$

Difference:  $0^{\circ}50'51''$

Component in latitude of difference:  $0^{\circ}17'17''$

which corresponds, on the oblique orbit of the moon, to a swiftness of:  $0^{\circ}17'17'' \times 11\frac{1}{2} = 3^{\circ}19'$

(in the Almagest I 530, 25, Ptolemy takes a coefficient 12).

"Position of moon in latitude", to be used as argument:  $277^{\circ}20' + 3^{\circ}19' = 274^{\circ}1'$

2° Table for moon's maximum distance: argument  $274^{\circ}1'$  digits: 3; 58 } difference:

path of moon:  $0^{\circ}23'21''$  digits 0; 48

3° Table for moon's minimum distance: digits: 4; 46 } path:  $0^{\circ}2'35''$

path of moon:  $0^{\circ}25'56''$

4° Table of correction for moon's intermediate positions:

Argument: moon's anomaly at time of apparent conjunction:  $138^{\circ}0'45''$ .

corresponding coefficient of correction:  $0^{\circ}51'38''$

$0; 48 \times 0; 51, 38 = 0; 41, 18$  correction for digits

$0; 2, 35 \times 0; 51, 38 = 0; 2, 13$  correction for path

5° Results: 1<sup>st</sup> approximation of digits:  $0; 41, 18 + 3; 58 = 4; 39, 18$

path:  $0; 2, 13 + 0; 23, 21 = 0; 25, 34$

empirical correction to account for the sun's move:  $0; 25, 34 \times \frac{13}{12} = 0^{\circ}27'42''$

moon's speed:  $0^{\circ}34'46''$  (as before). [The speed is supposed to remain the same during the whole time]  
 half duration of eclipse:  $\frac{27'44''}{24'46''} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{20}$  hour.

First approximation of time table: equinoctial hours

	equinoctial hours	longitude	anomaly
1 <sup>st</sup> contact	$3 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{20}$ PM	Gemini: $23^{\circ}8'28''$	$137^{\circ}33'5''$
maximum phase	$4 \frac{1}{3}$ PM	$23^{\circ}36'10''$	$138^{\circ}0'45''$
last contact	$5 \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{20}$ PM	$24^{\circ}3'52''$	$138^{\circ}28'27''$

Second approximation, taking into account the variation of zenithal distance during the eclipse. There was here the method of the Handy Tables, but use the tables of Almagest.

1<sup>o</sup> At approximate time of 1<sup>st</sup> contact, component in longitude of difference between sun and moon's parallels: (calculated as above)

at time of conjunction:  $42'13''$   
 difference  $d' = 5'39''$

the moon's path, in 1<sup>st</sup> approximation, was  $25'34''$   
 empirical correction for further variations of zenithal distance:  $\frac{25'34''}{5'39''} = \frac{5'39''}{x} \quad x = 1'$

$$25'34'' + 5'39'' + 1' = 32'13''$$

[This corrects the explanation I gave in 1931 in my edition of Pappus, p. Lxxix: instead of  $c + d' + x' + a$  it should be  $d' + x' + a$ ]

Correction for the movement of sun:  $\frac{13}{12} \times 32'13'' = 34'54'' =$  new approximation of moon's path.

moon's speed (still supposed to have remained unchanged)  $34'56''$  per hour

Duration of immersion, 2<sup>nd</sup> approximation:  $\frac{34'54''}{34'56''} = 1$  hour.

2<sup>o</sup> The same calculations are repeated with the approximate time of last contact.

Result:  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{12}$  hour = duration of emersion 2<sup>nd</sup> approximation.

(Rule of thumb: the longer time is the time of phases closer to midday)

Time table, new approximation.

	equinoctial hours	seasonal hours
1 <sup>st</sup> contact	$3 \frac{1}{3}$ PM	$2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3}$ PM or $5 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3}$ from sunrise.
maximum phase	$4 \frac{1}{3}$ PM	$3 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{20}$ PM or $7 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{20}$ from sunrise.
last contact	$5 \frac{1}{4}$ PM	$4 \frac{1}{2}$ PM or $10 \frac{1}{2}$ from sunrise.

#### Prognosis.

[In the actual state of my edition, the figures of the manuscripts do not fit together, nor with the preceding ones. Maybe they will be all right when the work is terminated. In the mean time, I continue the calculations, following the methods explained by Theon, but putting figures taken from the Almagest of Heiberg, or the Handy Tables ed Halma]

I make the calculation for 1<sup>st</sup> contact only, from the following data:

Hour of 1<sup>st</sup> contact:  $2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} = 2^h 50^m$  seasonal time PM

sun's longitude, at time of conjunction (true conjunction) Gemini  $22^{\circ}46'$  or  $45'$

moon's "latitude":  $27^{\circ}28'45''$  from northern extremity. Consequently the moon is north of the ecliptic, having covered more than  $\frac{3}{4}$  of its orbit.

1° Length of seasonal hour. cf. Alm. I p. 134. "Climate" of lower Egypt.

AR Gemini 20 ~ 79 5  
30 ~ 90 0

22°44' ~ 82°3' by interpolation.

Oblique ascension Gem 22°44' ~ 67°17' idem

$$82°3' - 67°17' = 14°46'$$

$$14°46' : 6 = 2°27'40''$$

$$15° + 2°27'40'' = 17°27'40'' \text{ or } 17°28'$$

2° Ecliptic's rising point: time 2<sup>h</sup>50<sup>m</sup> seasonal

$$2,50 \times 17;27 = 49°26'30''$$

Sun's oblique ascension 67°17' (as above Gemini 22°44')

Ob. asc. of rising point: 116°44'

Oblique asc. Lion 10 121 22'

0 109 37

10 11 55

2 7 7

$$\frac{10 \times 7;7}{11;55} = \frac{1,11;10}{11;55} = 5;58$$

Oblique asc. Lion 5°58' = 116°44'

(cf. Almagest and Heiberg vol I plate at end of volume)

rising point: Lion 5°58' azimuth of d° 21°50' N from true E

setting point: Aquar. 5°58' azimuth of d° 21°50' S from true W.

3° Table of promeris of Alm. I 544

maximum of eclipse at Alexandria: 4;39 digits.

4 d ~ 42°56'

5 d ~ 36°25'

4;39 38°4' northern direction from W = "promeris"

azimuth of rising point: -21°50' southern direction from W

16°51' northern direction from W = promeris at 1" contact

[The calculation of last contact's promeris is done along the same way]

Sample of sexagenimal division:

1	11	55
2	23	50
3	35	45
4	47	40
5	59	35
6	1	30
7	1	25
8	1	20
9	1	15
10	1	10
20	3	20
30	5	30
40	7	40
50	9	50
60	11	0

1	11	10	11	55
11	35	0	5	58
1	39	10		
3	50			

method of them (or Hypatia) 37 books, to be used with an abacus, which gives mechanically the Table and the result.

The sun eclipse of June 16 364, as calculated by Theon of Alexandria according to the Handy Tables, in the 6<sup>th</sup> Book of his commentary on the Almagest.

Date: 688 (vague year) of the era of Philippos. (cf ed Halme p+2 p.67)

	Sun from apogee	Excentric's apogee	Epi-cycle's center	moon's center	"latitude" from N extremity
676 (year)	358 4	262 3	291 13	210 26	320 30
12 (year)	357 5	118 23	230 57	344 37	232 0
Whole	0	0	0	0	0
23 <sup>d</sup> (day)	21 41	246 31	176 24	287 26	1 10
21 (hour)	0 52	9 48	21 20	11 26	0 3
1/10 (hour)	0 0	0 <27>	0 6	0 3	0 0
	<u>17 41</u>	<u>276 47</u>	<u>360 0</u>	<u>133 58</u>	<u>193 43</u>

Correction 41' temp. 17°0' + 65°30' = 82°30' Gemini 22°30'

mean position Sun Gemini 23°11' - 0°42' = 22°30' true moon 23°11' - 3°50' = 19°21'

from distance at mean conjunction = 3°8' 3°8' x 1/12 = 0°15'40" 3°8' + 0°15'40" = 3°23'40" true conjunction = Gemini 22°44'40"

Alternative way, according to the Small Commentary on the Handy Tables by Theon.

(interesting because it is the system of epochs of the church calendar.) cf ed Halme pt 1, p 69.

Fixed year, Diocletian 80 month Pagan. or 687 Philippos fixed year.

687: 19 rest: 3 or (80-1): 19 rest 3 (the "golden number" of the church calendar)

3 x 11 = 33 = epoch (Theon calls it epoch too)

Supplement for Pagan (10<sup>th</sup> month) 10:2 = 5

5 + 3 = 8 30 - 8 = 22

22 + 97 (number of "bisextile" years since the introduction of the system) = 119

Counting 119 days from Pagan we come to Thoth 24 of the (next) (vague) year 688 Philippos

This enables us to discriminate the result of the above calculation which might as well have given the date of a full moon. If we don't use the epoch, there is another way of discriminating.

[I cannot yet guarantee that this alternative way is not a later interpolation, but it seems probable, to be authentic

True conjunction.

mean sun at time of mean conjunction : Gemini 23°11' - 0°42' = 22°19' as found above  
 true sun at time of mean conjunction Gemini 22°19' as found above  
 true moon at time of mean conjunction Gemini 19°21' as found above  
 Distance sun - moon : 3°8'

Empirical correction to account for sun's move: 3°8' x 13/12 = 3°24'

Moon's speed (cf προσαρμόσιον ed Halma pt 1 p 147) (empirical determination)

position of moon's center on the epicycle 133°58'  
 corresponding number in προσαρμόσιον 51 51/10 = 5 V=20+5 = 35' speed per hour

Time between mean and true conjunction:  $\frac{3^{\circ} 24'}{0^{\circ} 35'} = 5 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{30}$  hours.

Time of mean conjunction, as above: 23 Choth 20  $\frac{1}{10}$  hours PM. mean time  
 or 20  $\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{60}$  PM true time, equinoctial hours.

Note: The notion of equation of time used here is somewhat different from ours.

Time of true conjunction:  $20 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{60} + 5 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{30} = 2 \frac{1}{3}$  hours after midday of 24 Choth.

Corresponding positions: sun and moon's longitude: Gemini  $22^{\circ} 44'$   
 moon's "latitude" from northern extremity  $276^{\circ} 28'$   
 moon's center on the epicycle  $137^{\circ} 9'$

neglecting a correction for the moon's excentric, as is always done, according to Pappus and Theon.

Apparent conjunction.

1° Difference of sun and moon's parallaxes, reduced in latitude and longitude.  
 given directly by the handy table of parallaxes, cfr Halma pt 2 p. 99 and pt 1 p 147.  
 $p = 25'$  component in longitude.

2° Epiparallax. (moon's speed  $V = 35'$  per hour as above)  
 1<sup>st</sup> approximation of apparent conjunction:  $\frac{p}{V} = \frac{35}{35} = 1$  hour  
 $2 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} + 1 = 3 \frac{1}{3}$  PM

Component in latitude of difference between sun and moon's parallax, for  $3 \frac{1}{3}$  PM:  $c = 38'$

$$p - c = d = 8'$$

$$\frac{p}{d} = \frac{d}{x}$$

$$x + d = e = 10'$$

$$e + p = 45' = \text{epiparallax}$$

empirical correction for sun's move:  $\frac{13}{12} \times 45' = 49'$ .

3° Elements of eclipse:

Time of apparent conjunction  $\frac{49'}{35'} = 1 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{15}$  hours (= time between true and apparent conjunction)  
 (moon's speed)  $\rightarrow$   $2 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} + 1 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{15} = 4 \frac{1}{4}$  PM, time of apparent conjunction.

Corresponding positions of moon: longitude Gemini  $23^{\circ} 34'$   
 position in "latitude" from northern extremity:  $277^{\circ} 17'$

Consequently: moon's true latitude:  $0^{\circ} 38' N$

Component in latitude of difference between moon and sun's parallaxes, given by handy table:  $17' 30''$

apparent latitude of moon:  $0^{\circ} 28' - 0^{\circ} 17' 30'' = 0^{\circ} 20' 30'' N$

4° Circumstances of the eclipse (cfr Halma II p. 91 and I p 147 =  $\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi$ )

digits: 4; 58

moon's path:  $26' 39''$

$$\frac{13}{12} \times 26' 39''$$

$$\frac{\quad}{35' \text{ (moon's speed)}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} \text{ hour} = \text{duration of immersion}$$

and emersion, 1<sup>st</sup> approximation.

5° Time table, 1<sup>st</sup> approximation.

1<sup>st</sup> contact

$3 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{12}$  PM equinoctial hour

Positions

Gemini  $23^{\circ} 5' 8''$

maximum phase:

$4 \frac{1}{4}$  PM

$23^{\circ} 34'$

last contact:

$5 \frac{1}{2}$  PM

$24^{\circ} 2' 52''$

Reduction of digits in "square digits" ( $\frac{1}{12}$  of sun's surface)  $3 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{7}$  sq.d.

## Second approximation

a) Component in longitude of difference between sun and moon's parallaxes at approximate times of:

$$1^{\text{st}} \text{ contact: } c' = 0^{\circ} 35'$$

$$\text{apparent conjunction: } c = 40'$$

$$c - c' = 5' = d'$$

moon's path =  $a = 26' 39''$  as above.

$$\frac{a}{d'} = \frac{d'}{x} \quad x = 1' \text{ which is neglected.}$$

$$a + d' + x = 31' 39''$$

$$\frac{13}{12} \times 31' 39'' = 34' 17'' \quad \frac{34' 17''}{35' (\text{moon's speed})} = 1 \text{ hour}$$

b) component in longitude of difference between sun and moon's parallax at approximate time of:

$$\text{last contact: } c'' = 43'$$

$$c - c'' = d'' = 3' \text{ (absolute value)}$$

$$\frac{a}{d''} = \frac{d''}{x}$$

$$x = 0' 20'' \text{ neglected.}$$

$$a + d'' + x = 30'$$

$$\frac{13}{12} \times 30 = 32' 30''$$

$$\frac{32' 30''}{35'} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{15} \text{ (last figure suspect)}$$

Time Table.

	equinoctial hours	seasonal hour	idem from sun rise
1 <sup>st</sup> contact:	2 $\frac{1}{4}$ h PM	2 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ PM	8 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{3}$
maximum phase	4 $\frac{1}{4}$	3 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{6}$	9 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{6}$
last contact	5 $\frac{1}{6}$	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	10 $\frac{1}{2}$

## Prognosis

Thoron gives no figures in his commentary on the Almagest. I make here the calculation according to his explanation, with figures from the handy table.

Data: 1<sup>st</sup> contact 2<sup>h</sup> 50<sup>m</sup> seasonal time PM. climate of lower Egypt.

Sun's longitude at time of true conjunction = Gemini 22° 44' or 45'.

1° Length of seasonal hour: given directly by the Handy Table of Oblique ascensions: 17° 28'.

$$17^{\circ} 28' \times 2; 50 = 49; 29, 20.$$

2° Sun's oblique ascension (Gemini 22° 45') ~ 67° 16'

$$49^{\circ} 29' + 67^{\circ} 16' = 116^{\circ} 45' \text{ oblique ascension of rising point, which is consequently: Lion } 5^{\circ} 56'$$

Setting point: Aquarius 5° 56'

3° azimuth of setting point:  $a = 21^{\circ} 51'$  south (taken in the Almagest)

4° "arc e" given by handy table of parallaxes column 4. climate of Lower Egypt, Gemini 22° 45'

time: 2<sup>h</sup> 50<sup>m</sup> seasonal, = 3<sup>h</sup> 28<sup>m</sup> PM equinoctial

$$e = 75^{\circ} 31'$$

5° arc b given by handy table of prognosis

for an eclipse of 4; 58 digits:  $b = 37; 12.$

6° The latitude of moon being north, the formula to be used is:

$$\frac{b \times (180 - e)}{90} = \frac{37^{\circ} 12' (180 - 75^{\circ} 31')}{90} = 43^{\circ} 32'$$

$$43^{\circ} 32' - 21^{\circ} 51' = 21^{\circ} 41' \text{ prognosis, to be counted from true W in direction of N.}$$